SELENIUM BIOFORTIFICATION TREATMENT OF CAULIFLOWER ENHANCES THEIR CONTENT IN CHEMOPREVENTIVE COMPOUNDS AND IN VITRO ANTITUMORAL ACTIVITY

Elena UTOIU^{1,2}, Anca OANCEA^{2*}, Alexandra GASPAR², Ana-Maria SECIU², Laura M. ȘTEFAN², Viorica COROIU², Oana CRĂCIUNESCU², Cristinel Dumitru BADIU^{3,4}, Florin OANCEA^{1,5}

 ¹University of Agronomic Sciences and Veterinary Medicine of Bucharest, 59 Mărăşti Blvd., District 1, Bucharest, Romania
 ²National Institute of Research and Development for Biological Sciences-INCDSB, 296 Spl. Independenței 060031, District 6, Bucharest, Romania
 ³Carol Davila University of Medicine and Pharmacy, 37 Dionisie Lupu Street, 020021, District 2, Bucharest, Romania
 ⁴Bagdasar Arseni Emergency Clinic Hospital, 10-12 Berceni Blvd., District 4, Bucharest, Romania

⁵The National Institute for Research & Development in Chemistry and Petrochemistry - ICECHIM, 202 Spl. Independenței, 060021, District 6, Bucharest, Romania

*Corresponding author email: oancea.anca@gmail.com

Abstract

Cruciferous vegetables are known as food with chemopreventive effect due to their high content in bioactive compounds, such as mineral nutrients, including selenium, antioxidants, vitamins and glucosinolates, which were shown to inhibit cancer cell growth, both in vitro and in vivo testing. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of a new selenium-based composition, applied on experimental field conditions to cauliflower plants (Brassica oleracea L.), on their chemopreventive compounds level and antitumoral activity. Treated plants, cultivated both in normal watered and water stress conditions, were compared for total selenium and sulforaphane contents, determined by electrothermal atomic absorption spectrometry (ETAAS) and high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), respectively. In vitro cytotoxicity of cauliflower extracts was evaluated in NCTC fibroblast cell line, while their antitumoral activity was tested in Caco-2 human adenocarcinoma cell line using MTT colorimetric assay. The results indicated that the applied biofortification treatments increased the selenium intake, allowed formation of bioactive glucosinolates and enhanced the antitumoral activity of cauliflower plants cultivated in both normal watering and water stressed conditions. In conclusion, this new biotechnological approach on cauliflower cultivation, using a treatment with a novel selenium-based composition, could be considered promising step for obtaining functional food from cauliflower crops.

Key words: antiproliferative activity, cauliflower, glucosinolate, selenium, sulforaphane.

INTRODUCTION

Selenium (Se) is an important element for human and animal nutrition because it plays critical roles in a variety of physiological processes (Rayman, 2012). Statistical studies on human subjects have revealed constant correlations between the physiological response, determined by the expression of major selenoproteins and selenochemopreventive compounds, and the risk of mortality from chronic diseases, including cancers (Bleys et al., 2008; Rocourt and Cheng, 2013). The dietary intake of Se on a specific area is determined by the mean value of Se in

soil. Worldwide such value is $383 \pm 255 \ \mu g/kg$, when not affected by deficits or excesses (Kabata-Pendias and Pendias, 2001).

In Romania, Se level in soil stands at the deficit limit. Various pathologies caused by Se deficiency were reported for animals from different regions of the country (Serdaru et al., 2003; Lăcătuşu et al., 2012). Compared to the international known mean value, the Se content is reduced with 30% - 63% in different regions of Romania (Lăcătuşu et al., 2010; Lăcătuşu et al., 2012). A low level of Se in soil reduces Se dietary intake and indicates the need of supplementation, to achieve the optimal level of Se, beneficial for reducing the risk of chronic diseases (Mehdi et al., 2013: Steinbrenner et al., 2013). However, Se supplementation treatment for a better human health shall be related also to the very narrow Se physiological window. wherein the difference between the recommended daily human dose for prevention of chronic diseases and the dose producing pathophysiological effects is very small (Oancea et al., 2014).

Selenium agronomic biofortification, i.e. Setreatment applied during plants cultivation period, have been considered an effective solution for producing functional foods. beneficial for both animal and human health. biotechnology applied Such to largely consumed vegetables show several advantages, like supplementation by controlled levels of highly bioavailable seleno-compounds and a wider availability to different categories of people at risk of chronic diseases, including those with low income (White and Broadley, 2009; Fageria et al., 2012).

On the other hand, Se biofortification treatments allow the valorization of Se protective and stimulatory effects on plants (Feng et al., 2013). Experimental studies have shown that Se is a beneficial microelement for plants, stimulating their growth (Hartikainen and Xue, 1999; Sajedi et al., 2011) and playing a role in plant protection against infestation caused by insects or phytopathogenic agents (Hanson et al., 2003), oxidative stress (Xue et al., 2001) and hydric stress (Wang et al., 2011). It was reported that Se-based treatments applied to plants have also improved their response to drought stress, involving both water and oxidative stress (Kuznetsov et al., 2003; Yao et al., 2009). Due to this protective effects against biotic and abiotic stresses selenium was included among inorganic compounds acting as plant biostimulants (Du Jardin, 2015).

Consumption cruciferous of vegetables increased lately, due to their high content in bioactive compounds, such as mineral nutrients, including Se, antioxidant compounds, vitamins and glucosinolates, which form a unique class of sulfur compounds (Samec et al., 2016). The known role of chemopreventive food was attributed to cruciferous mainly due to studies indicating that glucosinolates have acted as potent inducers of phase II enzymes.

which inactivated carcinogenic metabolites and inhibited cancer growth in vitro and in vivo (Park et al., 2014; Tortorella et al., 2015). Vegetables from *Brassica* spp., such as broccoli, Brussels sprouts and cabbage were the main crops on which Se biofortification treatments were applied (White and Broadley, 2009). However, per our knowledge, few studies were done on cauliflower Se biofortification (Avila et al., 2014, Oancea et al. 2015).

We developed previously a new composition for selenium biofortification treatment, intendent not only to increase accumulation of (organo)selenium compounds on *Brassica* crops, but also to enhance protective effects of selenium treatment on cultivated plants, especially against water stress (Oancea et al., 2014, Oancea et al., 2015).

The aim of the study was to investigate this new selenium-based composition, applied on experimental field conditions to cauliflower plants, on accumulation of chemopreventive compounds (Se, glucosinolates) into edible parts, cytotoxicity and antitumoral activity of plant extracts and cauliflower plant resistance to water stress.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Biological material. Seedlings of cauliflower (Brassica oleracea L. var. botrvtis cv. Adelanto F1) were transplanted and cultivated on an experimental field, located on Stefan cel Mare, Călărași, Romania (40° 59' N latitude, 27°40' E longitude, 54 m altitude), according to the recommended cultivation technology. The calcaric kastanic chernozem soil was fertilized with 160 kg ha⁻¹ N, 120 kg ha⁻¹ P and 120 kg ha⁻¹ K, 5 days before cauliflower seedlings transplantation. The total selenium content in the upper soil was 67 μ g/kg, representing 40% lower value than the average content in soils unaffected by Se deficiencies (Lăcătușu et al., 2010). The transplants were placed on 25 cm, in rows done at 70 cm one from another.

During 9 weeks of cultivation, there were recorded higher monthly temperatures (+1.3°C in May; +0.4°C in June; +2.7°C in July) and lower monthly precipitations (-31.5 mm in May; -22.7 mm in June; -34.9 mm in July) than the multi-annual average. Plant treatment. Plants received two subsequent treatments with the new selenium based mixture, consisting of 10 µM Na₂SeO₄ (Sigma), 5 mM betaine (Sigma) and 1% spraying adjuvant (Teso Spec Srl). The treatments were applied by foliar spraying, at 3 and 6 weeks after crop establishment by seedling transplant. The spraving adjuvant was rapeseed obtained from oil bv transesterification in the presence of potassium hydroxide, neutralization of excess alkali with oleic acid, and final addition of lecithin and nonionic emulsifier (Vladulescu et al., 2012). Plants were grown in normal watering conditions (watered daily, at 80% field capacity) and in water stress conditions (watered once every two days, at 80% field capacity. After 9 weeks of cultivation, normally watered and water stressed cauliflower crops were separately harvested and weighed, for the establishment of the marketable yields. Controls were obtained from untreated plants, cultivated in similar conditions and without Sebased treatment (Table 1).

 Sample label
 Water supply
 Se -based mixture used for cauliflower treatment

 C1
 normal watering, control

 C2
 normal watering
 10 μM Na2SeO4 + 5 mM betaine + 1% spaying adjuvant

 C3
 water stressed control

 C4
 water stressed
 10 μM Na2SeO4 + 5 mM betaine + 1% spaying adjuvant

Table 1. Experimental treatments done on field grown cauliflower

Determination of total Se content. Total Se content was measured using an atomic absorption spectrometer (Agilent AA-1475, with Vapor Generation Accessory, VGA 76, and Agilent Se- hollow cathode lamp). The measurements were undertaken after electrothermal atomization of each sample in a graphite oven SR EN ISO 15586:2004. The results were reported in $\mu g/g dry weight (d.w.)$. Analysis of sulforaphane content. Sulforaphane extraction was performed using the method described by Campas-Baypoli et al. (2010). Briefly, fresh cauliflower plants were weighed (0.15 g) and incubated with 4 ml of acidic water (pH 6) for 2.5 h at 45°C. The mixture was extracted with 20 ml dichloromethane and the resulting solution was filtered through Whatman no. 5 paper. The sulforaphane was purified with Chromabond SPE silica gel (SiOH) columns. Prior to use, the silica gel column was conditioned with dichoromethane after which the organic extract was loaded. The column was washed with ethylacetate and the sulforaphane was eluted with methanol. The methanol extract was dried at 45°C using a rotary evaporator and re-dissolved with 1 ml acetonitrile. The resulting solution was filtered with a PTFE membrane of 0.45 µm and stored at -4°C until HPLC analysis.

The chromatographic analysis was performed using an Agilent 1200 HPLC system, equipped with a photodiode array detector. HPLC identification and quantification of sulforaphane was carried out using a Zorbax XDB C18 (4.6 x 150 mm) column (Agilent) and 70% acetonitrile as mobile phase, at a flow rate of 0.6 ml/min. Twenty microliters of sample were injected into the HPLC system and the sulforaphane was detected at 202 nm. Standard solutions of sulforaphane were prepared in acetonitrile in the range of 5-100 µg/ml. The chromatograms were processed with ChemStation Agilent software and the sulforaphane was quantified from the peak areas, in correlation with sulforaphane standard concentration. Calibration curves were built for concentrations ranging between 5-100 µg/ml. Cell culture experiments. Total extracts of

Cell culture experiments. Total extracts of control and treated plants were obtained by incubation of fresh cauliflower plants (30 g) in deionized water, at 45°C, for 24 h. The samples were centrifuged at 2500 rpm, for 10 min and the supernatant was sterile filtered through 0.2 μ m membranes. The resulting solutions were stored at -20°C until cell culture analysis.

In vitro experiments were performed using a normal cell line of mouse fibroblasts (NCTC clone L929) and a tumor cell line derived from human colorectal adenocarcinoma (Caco-2), provided by ECACC. The cells were maintained in MEM culture medium containing 10% fetal calf serum (FCS) and antibiotics, at 37°C, in humid atmosphere with 5% CO₂. For the experiment, cells were seeded in 96-wells

culture plates, at a cell density of 5×10^3 cells/well, for 24 h, to allow cell adhesion. Then, different concentrations (0-2 mg/ml) of cauliflower extracts were added in each well and the plates were incubated in standard conditions, for 72 h.

Evaluation of cvtotoxicity and antiproliferative activity. Cytotoxicity and antiproliferative activity of cauliflower extracts were evaluated using MTT assay, as previously described (Moldovan et al., 2008). Briefly, at the end of incubation period, the culture medium from each well was replaced with 500 µl MTT solution (0.25 mg/ml) in fresh culture medium and the plates were incubated in standard conditions (5% CO2 air, 37°C), for 3 h. After discarding the culture medium, 500 µl isopropanol were added to dissolve formazan crystals by gently shake, at room temperature, for 15 min and the optical density (OD) was read at 570 nm using a microplate reader (Tecan, Austria). The results were reported as cell viability percent from control sample (cells incubated in culture medium), considered 100% viable. The samples were tested in triplicate.

Statistical analysis. The results were expressed as mean of 3 values \pm standard deviation (SD). Statistical analysis of the results was performed using paired Student's t-tests. Significant differences were considered at values of p<0.05.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Crop yield of Se-treated cauliflower field plants Normally watered and water stressed cauliflower crops were separately harvested after 9 weeks of cultivation (Figure 1) and weighed to calculate the obtained yields.



Figure 1. Se-treated cauliflower plants, cultivated in normal watered (A) and water stressed (B) conditions The cauliflower crop yield variation was analyzed related to normal watering or water stress conditions of cultivation. It was observed that similar crop yields were obtained for Se treated cauliflower plants, in both normal watering conditions (equivalent to 23.80 tones ha^{-1}) and water stressed (equivalent to 23.40) conditions. ha^{-1}) In turn. tones the corresponding controls presented a lower vield in water stressed conditions (18.62 tones ha^{-1}) than in normal watering conditions (24.10 tones ha⁻¹). These values allowed us to conclude that the Se-based treatment mixture provided protection of cauliflower plants against hydric stress.

The protective effect of Se-based biofortification was also reported for other vegetables and cereal crops (Hanson et al., 2003; Feng et al., 2013).

Total Se content in biofortified cauliflower plants. In our study, a biostimulant mixture of 10 µM sodium selenate, 5 mM betaine and 1% spraving adjuvant was selected for the treatment of cauliflower plants, based on previous experiments (Oancea et al., 2015; Oancea et al., 2016). The mixture was planned to contain sodium selenate, as the main form of inorganic Se used for crops biofortification (Hawkesford and Zao, 2007), betaine, as a plant osmoprotectant and a modulator of S-Adenosyl-Methionine cycle, overused by selenium assimilation (Oancea et al., 2015) and a spraying adjuvant, based on methyl esters of rapeseed fatty acids, as an enhancer of foliar fertilizers penetrability. A parallel experiment involving normal watered and water stressed performed, as described plants was in "Experimental part" section, in order to observe the effect of Se-based treatments on plant response to water stress. After 9 weeks of cultivation, the results of total Se content analysis showed that, in normal watered plants, the level of total Se content significantly increased (p<0.05) (1.35-fold) compared to control plants (Table 2). Similar variation of total Se content was obtained for cauliflower field plants cultivated in water stressed conditions, the level of Se being significantly increased (p < 0.05) (1.34-fold) in treated plants compared to untreated control plants (Table 2). The registered values were slightly lower than those of plants cultivated in normal watering conditions, indicating the positive effect of Sebased treatment on cauliflower plants

cultivated in water stress conditions. Other studies reported that foliar application of sodium selenate increase the fruit yield in olive trees cultivated under water stress conditions (Proietti et al., 2013) and the antioxidants level in the leaves of lettuce (Rios et al., 2008).

Table 2. Variation of Se content in Se-treated cauliflower field plants, determined by electrothermal atomic absorption spectrometry*

Sample	Selenium content (µg/g d.w.)	
	Normal watering	Water stressed
Control, field grown plants	0.080 ± 0.004	0.076 ± 0.003
Se-based treatment, field grown plants	$0.108 \pm 0.010 *$	$0.102 \pm 0.005 \ast$

*Results represent mean of 3 determinations \pm SD.

Sulphoraphane content in Se-treated cauliflower 4-methylsulfinybutyl plants. glucosinolate (glucoraphanin) and its hydrolysis product, sulforaphane, are the most studied compounds with chemopreventive activity in Brassica phytochemicals research (Samec et al., 2016). In our study, sulforaphane was extracted from cauliflower plants, grown in field conditions, treated with Se-based (biostimulant) mixture and cultivated in normal and water deficit conditions. Identification of sulforaphane in treated plant extracts was performed by HPLC analysis and comparison of the retention time with that of the standard solution. As indicated in (Figure 2) and (Figure 3), sulforaphane peak was present in the recorded profiles at \approx 4.9 min, in both treated plants cultivated in different conditions of water stress.



Figure 2. HPLC identification of sulforaphane in Setreated cauliflower plants cultivated in normal watering conditions

Sulforaphane content in each cauliflower sample was determined using the method of plotting the calibration curve of sulforaphane standard by linear regression analysis of the integrated peak area versus concentration.



Figure 3. HPLC identification of sulforaphane in Setreated cauliflower plants cultivated in water stressed conditions

The results of quantitative calculations obtained for all cauliflower plant extracts are presented in (Table 3). The values of sulforaphane content obtained for cauliflower plants treated with Se-based mixture, in normal watering and water stressed conditions are presented in (Table 3).

Table 3. Content of sulforaphane in cauliflower plant extracts*

Sample	Sulforaphane (µg/g d.w.)	
	Normal watering	Water stressed
Control, field grown plants	34.83 ± 5.88	27.65 ± 5.44
Se-based treatment, field grown plants	25.39 ± 4.12	18.20 ± 4.11

*Results represent mean of 3 determinations ±SD.

The results indicated that the treatment did not significantly (p>0.05) affect the accumulation of glucosinolates degradation product. The Setreated plants presented sulforaphane values like those of corresponding control plants.

Previous studies reported the content of six main glucosinolates in 7-day-old cauliflower seedlings treated with 50 μ M sodium selenate and indicated a significant variation in two of three cauliflower cultivars (Avila et al., 2014). The differences in total glucosinolate levels in relation to cultivars were explained by their genotype or genotype-environment interaction (Farnham et al., 2004).

The biochemical results of this study indicated that the used of Se-based mixture induced high levels of total Se and allowed accumulation of glucosinolates in cauliflower field plants cultivated in both normally watered and water stressed conditions. This is probably due to betaine from the treatment mixture, which could influence and compensate the cross-talk between selenium and sulphur metabolism. Hsu et al. (2011) also showed that it was possible to produce Se-biofortified broccoli that concomitantly accumulated high levels of Se and glucosinolates.

In vitro cytotoxicity of cauliflower extracts. The cytotoxicity of cauliflower extracts was tested in a normal cell line of fibroblasts to determine the biocompatible range of concentrations for in vitro experiments. The results reported to the cell viability of untreated fibroblast cells (considered 100% viable) are presented in (Figure 4, Figure 5). It was observed that the extracts of Se-treated plants and cultivated in normal watering conditions induced a decrease in cell viability of fibroblast cells proportional with the tested concentrations. Still, they were biocompatible in the range of 0-1500 µg/ml, with values of cell viability higher than 75%. Only at 2000 µg/ml extract concentration it was recorded a decrease in cell viability up to 64.92%. The same trend was recorded for control plants and for the Se-treated plants cultivated in water stressed conditions. The Setreated plants induced significantly higher (p < 0.05) cell viability, in comparison with that of control plants, at certain values of concentration (Figure 3). This is the first study reporting the cytotoxicity of cauliflower extracts in a cell line of normal fibroblasts.

In vitro antiproliferative activity of cauliflower extracts. The antitumoral activity of several vegetables was previously demonstrated as accumulation of bioactive food components, like glucosinolates and methyl Se amino acids (Shankar et al., 2013; Bera et al., 2013).





In our study, the effect of Se biofortification of cauliflower field plants on their capacity to inhibit adenocarcinoma cells growth was investigated in cell culture experiments.



Figure 5. Effect of plant extracts from cauliflower cultivated in water stressed conditions on NCTC fibroblast cells viability after 72 h of cultivation, evaluated by MTT assay. Values are expressed as mean of three determinations ± SD and reported to the control, considered 100% viable

After 72 h of cultivation of Se-treated cauliflower extracts in tumor cell culture, the normally watered variant has induced a decrease in cell viability below 75% at concentrations of 1500 μ g/ml (68.64%) and 2000 μ g/ml (60.62%) (Figure 6). The water stressed plants presented lower values of cell viability, reaching 61.35% and 52.91% at concentrations of 1500 μ g/ml and 2000 μ g/ml, respectively (Figure 7). These values were significantly lower (p<0.05) than those of control plants tested at the same concentrations.



Figure 6. Effect of plant extracts from cauliflower cultivated in normal watering conditions on Caco-2 human adenocarcinoma cells viability after 72 h of cultivation, evaluated by MTT assay

These results showed that Se treated cauliflower plants presented a higher antitumoral activity than control plants. This activity is probably due to the high content of total Se and glucosinolates, which we determined in the cauliflower plants during the biochemical screening. Previously, it was reported that broccoli sprouts treated with selenate presented an enhanced antiproliferative effect in human prostate cancer cell lines, in a dose-dependent manner (Abdulah et al., 2009). Also, Seenriched broccoli extracts induced a greater growth inhibition of human colon cancer cells than untreated extracts (Tsai et al., 2013).



Figure 7. Effect of plant extracts from cauliflower cultivated in water stressed conditions on Caco-2 human adenocarcinoma cells viability after 72 h of cultivation, evaluated by MTT assay.

Our new Se-based composition enhance the biostimulant effect of selenium, related to an improved response to water stress and to a higher quality of the treated plants. Plant stimulants are characterized by the effects on nutrients uptake and nutrient use efficiency, response to abiotic stress and edible yield quality (Du Jardin, 2015). This new Se-based composition reduce the effects of water stress on cauliflower yield, increase the level of the main chemopreventive compounds and the antitumoral effects.

CONCLUSIONS

We have used a mixture of 10 μ M Na2SeO4, 5 mM betaine and 1% adjuvant as biostimulant composition for treating cauliflower crops in the field.

The obtained results demonstrated that the proposed approach of Se-based biofortification is protective drought cultivation against conditions, resulting increased in plant water tolerance to stress, probably bv modifying plant physiological processes. In the same time, the treatment had stimulatory effect, resulting in an increased Se intake by treated cauliflower plants and allowing accumulation bioactive glucosinolates. Equilibrate of formation of Se and glucosinolates in cauliflower crops treated with this Se-based composition provide biostimulant could characteristics of functional food for this vegetable. The selected cyto-compatible concentrations of biofortified cauliflower extract presented higher antitumoral activity in

Caco-2 adenocarcinoma cell line. The new biotechnology consisting of Se-based biostimulant treatment of cruciferous field crops could be further tested using in vivo experimental models.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank S.C. Teso Spec S.A. for spraying adjuvant supply. We also thank CP Med Laboratory for analyzing the selenium content in plant samples. This work was supported by the National Programme "Partnership in priority domains – PN II", implemented with the support of MCI - UEFISCDI, Project PN-II-PT-PCCA-2013 No. 186/2014.

REFERENCES

- Abdulah R., Faried A., Kobayashi K., Yamazaki C., Suradji E.W., Ito K., Suzuki K., Murakami M., Kuwano H., Koyama H., 2009. Selenium enrichment of broccoli sprout extract increases chemosensitivity and apoptosis of LNCaP prostate cancer cells. BMC Cancer 9:414-426.
- Avila F.W., Yang Y., Faquin V., Ramos S.J., Guilherme L.R.G., Thannhauser T.W., Li L., 2014. Impact of selenium supply on Se-methylselenocysteine and glucosinolate accumulation in selenium-biofortified Brassica sprouts. Food Chemistry 165:578-586.
- Bleys J., Navas-Acien A., Guallar E., 2008. Serum selenium levels and all-cause, cancer, and cardiovascular mortality among US adults. Archives of Internal Medicine 168:404-410.
- Campas-Baypoli O.N., Sanchez-Machado D.I., Bueno-Solano C., Ramirez-Wong B., Lopez-Cervantes J., 2010. HPLC method validation for measurement of sulforaphane level in broccoli by-products. Biomedical Chromatography 24:387-392.
- Du Jardin P. 2015. Plant biostimulants: definition, concept, main categories and regulation. Scientia Horticulturae 196:3-14.
- Fageria N.K., Moraes M.F., Ferreira E.P.B., Knupp A.M., 2012. Biofortification of trace elements in food crops for human health. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 43:561-570.
- Farnham M.W., Wilson P.E., Stephenson K.K., Fahey J.W., 2004. Genetic and environmental effects on glucosinolate content and chemoprotective potency of broccoli. Plant Breeding 123:60-65.
- Feng R., Wei C., Tu S., 2013. The roles of selenium in protecting plants against abiotic stresses. Environmental and Experimental Botany 87:58-68.
- Hanson B., Garifullina G.F., Lindblom S.D., Wangeline A., Ackley A., Kramer K., Pilon-Smits E.A., 2003. Selenium accumulation protects Brassica juncea from invertebrate herbivory and fungal infection. New Phytologist 159:461-469.

- Hartikainen H., Xue T., 1999. The promotive effect of selenium on plant growth as triggered by ultraviolet irradiation. Journal of Environmental Quality 28:1372-1375.
- Hawkesford M.J., Zhao F.-J., 2007. Strategies for increasing the selenium content of wheat. Journal of Cereal Science 46:282-292.
- Hsu F., Wirtiz M., Heppel S.C., Bogs J., Kamer U., Kahn M.S., Bub A., Hell R., Rausch T., 2011. Generation of Se-fortified broccoli as functional food: impact of Se fertilization on S metabolism. Plant, Cell & Environment 34:192-207.
- Kabata-Pendias A., Pendias H., 2001. Trace elements in soils and plants. CRC Press (3rd ed), Boca Raton, New York.
- Kuznetsov Vas.V., Kholodova V.P., Kuznetsov Vl.V., Yagodin B.A., 2003. Selenium regulates the water status of plants exposed to drought. Doklady Biological Sciences 390:266-268.
- Lacatusu R., Lungu M., Stanciu-Burileanu M.M., Risnoveanu I., Vranceanu A., Lazar R., 2012. Selenium in the soil – plant system of the Făgăraş Depression. Carpathian Journal of Earth and Environmental Sciences 7:37-46.
- Lacatusu R., Lungu M., Aldea M.M., Lacatusu A.R., Stroe V.M., Lazar R.D., Rizea N., 2010. Selenium in the rock-soil system from South-Eastern part of Romania. Environment, Development and Sustainability 4:145-158.
- Mehdi Y., Hornick J.L., Istasse L., Dufrasne I., 2013. Selenium in the Environment, Metabolism and Involvement in Body Functions. Molecules 18:3292-3311.
- Moldovan L., Craciunescu O., Balan M., Gaspar A., Gherghina (Utoiu) E. (2008). The purification, physico-chemical characterization and bioactivity of polysaccharides from Viscum album. Revista de Chimie 59:1022-1025.
- Oancea A., Gaspar A., Seciu A.-M., Stefan L.M., Craciunescu O., Georgescu F., Lacatusu R., 2015. Development of a new technology for protective biofortification with selenium of Brassica crops. AgroLife Scientific Journal 4:80-85.
- Oancea A., Craciunescu O., Gaspar A., Moldovan L., Seciu A.-M., Utoiu E., Georgescu F., Turcu D., 2016. Chemopreventive functional food through selenium biofortification of cauliflower plants. Studia Universitatis Vasile Goldis Life Sciences Series 26:207-213.
- Oancea F., Szabolcs L., Oancea A., Lacatusu R., Abraham B., Stanciu-Burileanu M.M., Meszaros A., Lungu M., 2014. Selenium biofortification biotechnologies of wheat grain in south-eastern part of Romania for a better human health. Studia Universitatis Vasile Goldis Life Sciences Series, 24:47-56.
- Park H.S., Han M.H., Kim G.-Y., Moon S.-K., Kim W.-J., Hwang H.J., Park K.Y., Choi Y.H., 2014. Sulforaphane induces reactive species-mediated mitotic arrest and subsequent apoptosis in human bladder cancer 5637 cells. Food and Chemical Toxicology 64:157-165.

- Proietti P., Nasini L., Del Buono D., D'Amato R., Tedeschini E., Businelli D., 2013. Selenium protects olive (Olea europaea L.) from drought stress. Scientia Horticulturae 164:165-171.
- Rayman M.P., 2012. Selenium and human health. Lancet 379:1256-1268.
- Rios J.J., Rosales M.A., Blasco B., Cervilla L.M., Romero L., Ruiz J.M., 2008. Biofortification of selenium and induction of the antioxidant capacity in lettuce plants. Scientia Horticulturae 116:248-255.
- Rocourt C.R., Cheng W.-H., 2013. Selenium supranutrition: are the potential benefits of chemoprevention outweighed by the promotion of diabetes and insulin resistance? Nutrients 5:1349-1365.
- Sajedi N., Madani H., Naderi A., 2011. Effect of microelements and selenium on superoxide dismutase enzyme, malondialdehyde activity and grain yield maize (Zea mays L.) under water deficit stress. Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobotanici Cluj-Napoca 39:153-159.
- Samec D, Pavlovic I., Salopek-Sondi B., 2016. White cabbage (Brassica oleracea var. capitata f. alba): botanical, phytochemical and pharmacological review. Phytochemistry Reviews http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11101-016-9454-4.
- Serdaru M., Vladescu L., Avram N., 2003. Monitoring of feed selenium in a southeast region of Romania. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 51:4727-4731.
- Steinbrenner H., Speckmann B., Sies H., 2013. Toward understanding success and failures in the use of selenium for cancer prevention. Antioxidants & Redox Signaling 19:181-191.
- Tortorella S.M., Royce S.G., Licciardi P.V., Karagiannis T.C., 2015. Dietary sulforaphane in cancer chemoprevention: the role of epigenetic regulation and HDAC inhibition. Antioxidants and Redox Signaling 22:1382-1424.
- Tsai C.-F., Ou B.-R., Liang Y.-C., Yeh J.-Y., 2013. Growth inhibition and antioxidative status induced by selenium-enriched broccoli extract and selenocompounds in DNA mismatch repair-deficient human colon cancer cells. Food Chemistry 139:267-273.
- Vladulescu M.-C., Oancea F., Velea S., 2012. Agricultural adjuvant composition and process for its obtainment. EP 2777394 A1
- Wang C.Q., 2011. Water-stress mitigation by selenium in Trifolium repens L. Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science 174:276-282.
- White P.J., Broadley M.R., 2009. Biofortification of crops with seven mineral elements often lacking in human diets iron, zinc, copper, calcium, magnesium, selenium and iodine. New Phytologist 182:49-84.
- Xue T, Hartikainen H., Piironen V., 2001. Antioxidative and growth-promoting effect of selenium on senescing lettuce. Plant Soil 237:55-61.
- Yao X., Chu J., Wang G., 2009. Effects of selenium on wheat seedlings under drought stress. Biological Trace Element Research 130:283-290.